Budget Coordinating Group

Report to the Select Board February 8, 2010

- Amherst has been engaged in a financial planning process since 2008 when the Budget Coordinating Group asked the Select Board to appoint a citizens committee to consider community priorities and recommend a five-year financial plan. The Community Voices/Budget Choices report was developed before the current economic recession.
- In developing the FY 2010 and FY 2011 budgets, the Town, Schools, and Library used the direction suggested in the Community Voices/Budget Choices report and implemented significant changes in programs and services before considering whether to ask voters to authorize an increase in taxes.
- The FY 2010 and 2011 budgets will be primarily balanced with substantial reductions to Town, School, and Library programs and personnel. If voters approve the override we recommend, 70% of the deficit will have been closed with spending reductions.
- In order to maintain remaining critical services, the Budget Coordinating Group recommends that the Select Board place an override on the March 23 town election ballot seeking not more than \$1.9 million for the operating budgets of the Town, elementary schools, library, and regional schools.

Additional tax revenues are required to continue essential programs that cannot otherwise be supported with reasonably projected revenues. The programs and personnel that will be affected are set forth in the prioritized lists that are attached to this report. For reasons we will explain, this recommendation does not include an exact amount. That will be determined after Amherst and Regional School Committee meetings on February 9 in order to permit final action at the February 12 Select Board meeting.

The Budget Coordinating Group (BCG) is composed of two members of the Select Board, School Committee, Library Trustees, Finance Committee, the chair of the Regional School Committee, the Town Manager, Assistant Town Manager/Finance Director, Superintendent, Director of Finance and Operations for the Amherst and Regional Schools, and the Library Director. The BCG is not a voting committee and reaches its decisions by consensus. Among the BCG's duties are serving as a clearinghouse for budget information, refining a master Town/School/Library budget development calendar, reviewing long-range financial projections, including prior years' results, current budgets, revenues/expenditures for the current and next three fiscal years, and reserves; developing a common understanding of issues related to budget priorities, developing methods of sharing resources ultimately leading to a recommended course of action, and disseminating the group's recommendations and conclusions to the public.

In 2008, The Select Board asked a citizens committee to facilitate a long range financial planning process that would consider community priorities and the financial situation confronting the Town. The committee was primarily considering the problem as structural

because expenses were increasing at a greater rate than the increase of property tax revenue according to Proposition 2½, state assistance, and other revenues. The Facilitation of the Community Choices Committee (FCCC) recommended that the problem be addressed as both an expense and a revenue problem, but suggested that expenses be addressed first by discontinuing services that are not core to the Town's mission, endeavoring to be efficient, and by controlling the growth of personnel expenses. They also recommended other steps such as seeking new nontax revenues.

By the time the FCCC issued its report on December 1, 2008, we were in a recession that affected state revenues and its capacity to provide local aid, which is the second largest component of the Town's revenues. Local aid, including state education funding, was cut midyear during FY 2009 and for 2010. The FY 2010 budget was primarily balanced with substantial cuts to town, school and library programs. The Select Board recognized that Amherst voters would need to consider an override to continue core programs in FY 2011 and determined that the most appropriate date for an override vote would be with the March 23 annual town election. BCG was tasked with considering and recommending an appropriate override amount, form and ballot language, and to issue this report to the Select Board in time for it to place an override on the ballot.

BCG's process

The BCG held its initial FY 2011 budget process meeting in mid-September and laid out its mutual understandings and expectations, including the coordination and acceleration of budget calendars in order to meet the February ballot language deadline. To help ensure that key information would be relayed back to home boards and committees with consistency, this and all subsequent meetings have ended with the identification of summary points, which are then written up and distributed.

On October 15, Assistant Town Manager/Finance Director John Musante presented initial budget projections for FY 2011 to a combined meeting of all of the boards and committees. Based upon estimates of the cost to maintain services as provided in FY 2010 and assuming a further 10% reduction in state aid, he projected a deficit for FY 2011 exceeding \$4 million.

The Finance Committee, after consulting the BCG, asked that the Town Manager, Superintendent, and Library Director prepare three budgets by January 18 that would (1) maintain level services, (2) be supported with the amount of funds likely to be available without an override, and (3) with an additional 3% reduction in expenses. The Finance Committee further requested prioritized lists of programs and positions that would be cut so that the highest priorities for restoration would be evident.

The Town Manager, Superintendent, and Library Director presented the budgets to the BCG on January 21. This meeting and subsequent meetings were televised on ACTV and materials are posted on Town, School, and Library web sites to assure that the process is public and transparent. The first thing that we noted was that because the level services budgets exceeded Mr. Musante's initial estimates, the projected deficit was \$4,348,277.

The BCG concluded that this deficit could not be addressed solely with an override and that additional expense reductions were required beyond the substantial reductions implemented in FY 2010. The list of cuts presented affects numerous programs and affect people who work hard to serve our community. The police officers' union agreed to forgo previously negotiated Cost of Living Adjustments to their salaries to preserve other benefits, including the jobs of some of their members. The Town Manager's and library budgets propose no COLA increase for non-union employees and School and Library proposals recommend no COLA increases for management personnel. The BCG hopes that other bargaining units will join this effort.

Because expense cuts needed to be a significant part of the plan to close this large deficit, the next meeting, on January 26, focused on the prioritized cut lists. When they are ordered with the least desirable cuts first, these lists are restoration lists. The Town Manager, Superintendent, and Library Trustees, sitting in for the Library Director at that meeting, identified the cuts needed for the "worst case" budget (3% reduction from estimated available funds) that are essential to restore and the cuts that we "can live with." Because the schools and library provided the budgets earlier than in previous years, the Superintendent and Library Director could not consult the School Committees and Library Trustees in the development of budgets and cut/restoration lists to the extent they would have in previous years.

Components of the solution

On January 27, Governor Patrick released his proposed budget for FY 2011 in which he recommended no further cuts to Chapter 70 and unrestricted local aid. The Governor had previewed this recommendation at the Massachusetts Municipal Association meeting, which was also attended by leaders from the House of Representatives. Based upon both presentations, the BCG agreed that a reasonable projection for state aid is a 5% reduction from the current year. In October, Mr.Musante projected a 10% reduction. As a result, we estimate an additional \$1.1 million of revenue. That new estimate, together with the cuts we had previously identified that would be made in any event, reduced the \$4.38 million gap to \$1.9 million.

At its January 28 meeting, the BCG reviewed working lists for each operating budget of the items that would have to be cut from the "worst case" budget. The town, schools, and library can divide cuts into three groups, using a process with the elected boards and executive staff. The first group is programs and positions that can be funded without an override, based on new revenue projections in light of the Governor's proposed budget. The second group is programs and positions that would be funded by a successful override. The third group is program or staff cuts that can be implemented.

BCG members agree that the \$1.1 million realized by a projected State Aid cut of 5% rather than 10% should be distributed among the four operating budgets proportionally to current allocations. That allows for restorations of the highest priorities on each cut list, totaling approximately \$360,000 for the Town, \$400,000 for the Elementary Schools, \$250,000 for the Regional Schools assessment (Amherst share of a potential \$400,000 increase to their budget), and \$29,000 for the Libraries.

The Amherst School Committee, Regional School Committee, and Library Trustees met on February 2. The School Committees did not complete the discussion and will meet again on February 8th and 9th. The Library Trustees determined the amount that they request from an override, if one is placed on the ballot.

We recommend that the Select Board ask the voters to override the property tax limits by not more than \$1.9 million and that these funds, if the voters approve the override, be distributed to complete the restoration of cuts that are identified as essential to restore. Because we need to hear a report from the School Committees after their February 9th meeting, we cannot be more specific in this report. The override will not be allocated to the operating budgets proportional to current allocations, but in a manner needed to meet the needs.

If voters approve the override, the additional revenue by taxation will be a smaller portion of the solution to close the gap between revenue and expenses. In two years, FY 2010 and FY 2011, the schools, town and library will have cut programs, staff, and services in a much greater amount and addressed the FCCC recommendation to discontinue services that are not core to the Town's mission, control the growth of personnel expenses, implement efficiencies, and seek new revenues. For example, the Town will seek \$484,135 from the override to support municipal functions but will have cut almost \$1.9 million from its budget in two years.

Override recommendation

An override under state law provides the authority to increase taxes. It does not require that taxes be increased. When you make the decision about whether to seek this override, and on March 23 when the voters make their decision, there will be information affecting the budgets that is not yet available. If there are changes that can allow the continuation of programs determined to be so essential that they should be included within an override we recommend that the Finance Committee present a budget that will only increase tax revenue that is needed for those programs. We will support that budget at Town Meeting so that when you establish the levy it will only be for the amount actually needed.

Any budget is the best estimate of revenues and expenses at the time that it is developed. The Town, schools and library have a remarkable record of accurately projecting budgets, but some changes are inevitable. For example, we project a 5% reduction in state aid despite the Governor's recommendation. The chair of the House Ways and Means Committee reminded municipal officials that the Commonwealth still confronts an estimated \$3 billion deficit it FY 2011. The legislature may be able to project state aid late in February. No amount is final until a budget is adopted which would be in July or even later. If local aid is less than we project, we will need to implement cuts from programs and personnel we have determined to be essential. If there is more local aid than we now project, we will adhere to the budget limits incorporated in our recommendation.

Another area that may affect budgets is collective bargaining. If additional unions agree to COLA concessions, thus decreasing the cost of the recommended restorations, that amount will be deducted from the requested override amount, if the agreement occurs before the ballot language is finalized on February 12 or reflected in the budgets recommended to Town Meeting. We will recommend not taxing to the full levy limit authorized by the override and not seek to spend the COLA savings on additional restorations.

The third area that might lead to changes will be priority decisions of elected boards that modify the priorities for the schools, library, and municipal services. If any budget, including the elementary and Regional School budgets, ultimately seek fewer restorations than recommended at this time, that difference will be reflected in the budgets recommended to Town Meeting. We will not recommend taxing to the full levy limit authorized by the override and will not seek to spend that savings on additional restorations.

After substantial discussion, most members of the BCG supported single ballot question specifying the amounts for Towns, Elementary Schools, Regional Schools and Libraries. We recognized that a menu override lets voters choose the areas they prioritize for funding; and allows voters who might oppose one budget area to support the others without having to vote against the entire proposal. However, a single question presents an opportunity to bring the community together to support all its services, instead of potentially pitting one against another, and is consistent with the cooperative and coordinated BCG process that considered the budget needs and priorities of all Town services. The potential for divisiveness leading up to the vote, and the bitterness afterwards if some budgets win and others lose, would be damaging to the community. As Town leaders, we seek an override because we believe the projected revenue shortfall makes it impossible to adequately fund core services town-wide. Our recommendation to the voters should offer a town-wide solution.

A pyramid override, in which a higher and lower number are offered to voters, is inconsistent with a process of identifying the smallest possible amount needed to maintain vital programs and services.

Finally, we considered projections for FY 2012 and FY 2013 and believe that if the voters support this override request, that the essential programs can be continued for the next years without an additional override. We made reasonable new growth projections and assumed a 1.5% increase in state aid in each of these years. Mr. Musante developed two projection models, one based upon our projection of a 5% reduction in state aid in FY 2011 and the other based upon the Governor's recommendation to not reduce local aid in the next year. We will need to make a concerted effort to control expense increases, including personnel expenses in the next two Fiscal Years.

We believe that our recommendation for an override for FY 2011 on March 23 is necessary and will allow the continuation of essential town, school and library programs for this three-year period.

Attachments

- A. Restoration lists with color coding for categories, most current version
- B. Projection worksheets, most current version
- C. How Much Would an Override Cost?

Town

9	Town	3.7		2
	Priority	cost	total	7) 29
1	Fire/EMTs (2)	162,799		
2	Fire/EMS Training	6,000	168,799	
3	Fire/EMS Protective Clothing	2,000	170,799	
4	Police Officer (1)	89,976	260,775	
5	Police Overtime	8,750	269,525	
6	Police Data Proc. Spec. (reduce 37.5>20 hrs)	21,361	290,886	_ r
7	Police Animal Welfare Officer (to half time)	21,820	312,706	
8	Police - Extra Help - Matrons, Records	25,000	337,706	
9	Police - Gasoline	10,000	347,706	
10	Police - Supplies, Purch. Of Services	16,050	363,756	Assumes -5% State Aid
11	Finance - Customer Assistant - Collector	52,432	416,188	
12	DPW Parks & Rec Laborer	32,420	448,608	= *
13	DPW Highway Laborer	38,004	486,612	
14	DPW Snow & Ice - salt and sand	40,000	526,612	
15	Town Clerk - temp help - elections (if staff cut)	-10,800	515,812	grouped
16	Town Clerk - Customer Assistant	56,776	572,588	cuts
17	Inspections - Extra Help - Admin Assistant	14,343	586,931	
18	Inspections - Training, Supplies, Advertising	3,200	590,131	
19	Communications Center - Dispatcher	44,568	634,699	
20	Communications Center - extra help and OT	15,000	649,699	
21	H.R Labor Relations Negotiator	22,000	671,699	•
22	Town Counsel - Special Counsel Services	15,000	686,699	
23	LSSE - Special Activities	12,750	699,449	
24	LSSE - Customer Assistant - Registrar	61,092	760,541	
25	LSSE - Program Subsidies	19,802	780,343	
26	LSSE - Training/Maintenance/Dues & Subscrips	5,695	786,038	
27	Veteran's Benefits (75% reimb. by State)	35,000	821,038	
28	Senior Center - Admin Asst. 37.5> 24.5	10,993	832,031	
29	Cherry Hill - Maint./Equip/Supplies	7,600	839,631	
30	Pools - Extra Help	8,260	847,891	
31	DPW Street Lights - turn off 50%	48,422	896,313	
32	LSSE - Mgmt Asst Sports Programs	46,396	942,709	Cut
33	Health - Cambodian Outreach Wrkr - beneftis	14,280		Regardless
34	Health - Share Asst Sanitarian w/ Northmptn	-11,543	945,446	
35	Health - Public Health Nurse 30>15	7,003	952,449	
36	IT - Delay E-mail Outsourcing	20,000	972,449	*
37	Communications - Dispatcher (to grant)	49,259	1,021,708	
38	Planning - Planning Staff (to CDBG)	47,819	1,069,527	
39	Conservation - 10% Dir. and Mgt. Asst. (to CDBG)	16,420	1,085,947	
40	HR - Soc. Justice Coord. benefits (to grant)	14,280	1,100,227	
41	Police - COLAs 3.5%> 0%	107,561	1,207,788	
42	Non Union - COLAs 3.5%> 0%	91,176	1,298,964	
43	Utilities/Other Savings	72,121	1,371,085	
44	Health Insurance 6%> 3%	95,440	1,466,525	
-		Language Colored	, , , , , , ,	

861,054

837,054

Elementary Schools total cost Priority 27,931 1,673,300 ELL (0.50) 1 111,722 139,653 1,645,369 Intervention (2) 2 195,514 55,861 1,533,647 Instructional Technology (1) 251,375 55,861 1,477,786 4 Intervention (1) 259,754 1,421,925 8,379 Classroom Music (0.15) 5 39,102 298,856 1,413,546 SE Academic (0.70) 6 321,200 1,374,444 22,344 7 Psychologist (0.40) Assumes -5% State Aid 1,352,100 61,447 382,647 8 SE Therapeutic (1.10) 1,286,885 1,290,653 33,517 416,164 Intervention (0.60) 9 27,931 444,095 1,257,136 ELL (0.50) 10 18,600 462,695 1,229,205 SE Paraprofessional (1) 11 485,039 1,210,605 22,344 SE Related Services (0.40) 12 512,970 1,188,261 27,931 Psychologist (0.50) 13 1,160,330 550,970 38,000 SE Clerical (1) 14 46,500 597,470 1,122,330 SE Paraprofessionals (2.50) 15 11,172 608,642 1,075,830 16 Phys Ed (0.20) 611,615 2,973 1,064,658 Classroom Music (0.05) 17 -90,000 521,615 1,061,685 Add Pre-K class (3) 18 1,151,685 grouped -10,000 511,615 19 Add Contracted Maintenance Svc 42,700 554,315 1,161,685 cuts Maintenance Staff (1) 20 1,118,985 8,000 562,315 Cut 2 Central Office staff from full year to School Year 21 20,000 582,315 1,110,985 22 **Curriculum Program Support** 562,315 1,090,985 -20,000 Add Program Evaluation 23 517,315 1,110,985 -45,000 24 Add Curriculum Director (0.50) 535,315 1,155,985 18,000 Substitute Coordinator (0.50) 25 30,000 565,315 1,137,985 Special Ed Administrator (0.30) 26 595,315 1,107,985 30,000 27 Science Coordinator (to Title 2A grant) Assumes -0% State Aid 612,305 **Administrator and Principal COLAs** 16,990 1,077,985 28 1,037,099 1,060,995 30,000 642,305 29 No Sabbaticals 751 643,056 1,030,995 Other Expense Lines 30 8,190 651,246 1,030,244 31 **Support Services Expenses** 654,446 1,022,054 3,200 Special Ed Expenses 32 Cut 1,018,854 Regardless 43,300 697,746 Reduced Health Ins. Enrollment 33 778,746 81,000 975,554 34 **Health Insurance Rate** 15,500 794,246 894,554 **Eliminate Language Clusters** 35 18,000 812,246 879,054 Students Walk to Bus Stops 36

24,000

-3,500

Utilities Initiative

Add HEC Assessment

37

836,246

832,746

					Mark's
39	Add: MM Moving/Transition costs	-90,000	742,746	840,554	Meadow
40	Clerical OT	750	743,496	930,554	
41	Substitute Expenses	21,696	765,192	929,804	
42	Administrative Expenses	2,636	767,828	908,108	
43	Homework Club	4,268	772,096	905,472	
44	SE Paraprofessionals (4.50)	83,000	855,096	901,204	
45	SE Therapeutic (0.50)	27,931	883,027	818,204	
46	SE Clerical (0.50))	19,000	902,027	790,273	
47	SE Academic Teacher (1)	55,861	957,888	771,273	
18	Intervention (1)	55,861	1,013,749	715,412	
19	ELL (1)	56,722	1,070,471	659,551	
50	Custodian (1.50)	57,000	1,127,471	602,829	
51	Nurse (1)	55,861	1,183,332	545,829	
52	Guidance (1)	55,861	1,239,193	489,968	
53	Phys Ed (0.40)	22,344	1,261,537	434,107	
54	Art (0.60)	33,516	1,295,053	411,763	
55	Classroom Music (0.35)	19,551	1,314,604	378,247	
56	Classroom Teachers (4)	201,696	1,516,300	358,696	
57	Clerical (1.50)	67,000	1,583,300	157,000	
58	Administration (1)	90,000	1,673,300	90,000	

824,777

31,960 1,837,021

					2/2/2010	
_	Regional Schools		or control w			
	Priority	cost	total	Net Cuts		
1	7th Grade 2/5 team (1.6)	89,377		2,629,838		
2	8th Grade 2/5 team (1.6)	89,377	178,754	2,540,461		
3	HS Academic Depts. (.3 Eng; .2 Soc Std; .5 Sci; .2 Math) (67,033	245,787	2,451,084		
4	HS Tech/Bus/Comp Dept. (0.2)	11,172	256,959	2,384,051		
5	MS SE Teacher/Paras (1 - 2)	55,000	311,959	2,372,879		
6	MS Music (0.4)	22,344	334,303	2,317,879		
7	MS World Languages (0.4)	22,344	356,647	2,295,535		
8	HS Guidance (1)	55,861	412,508	2,273,191		
9	HS Copy Service Personnel (1)	14,747	427,255	2,217,330		remarks are wi
10	HS Academic Depts (Consolidate Chinese sections)(0.2)	11,172	438,427	2,202,583	Assume	es -5% State Aid
11	HS Indvlzd Reading Program - Eng Dept (0.5)	27,931	466,358	2,191,411		2,190,214
12	HS Academic Depts (.5 Eng; .3 Soc Std; .5 Sci; .8 Math; .2	128,480	594,838	2,163,480		792,817
13	HS Academic Depts (.5 Eng; 1 Soc Std; .5 Math) (2)	111,722	706,560	2,035,000		1,397,397
14	MS Library (0.4)	22,344	728,904	1,923,278		[A= 1,087,315]
15	MS Math Plus (0.4)	22,344	751,248	1,900,934		_
16	HS Supplies (Tech Ed)	4,000	755,248	1,878,590	grouped	
17	HS Tech/Bus/Comp Dept. (1.3)	72,619	827,867	1,874,590	cuts	
18	HS Supplies (Fam & Consumer Sci))	7,582	835,449	1,801,971	grouped	
19	HS Fam & Consumer Sci Dept (2.8)	156,411	991,860	1,794,389	cuts	
20	HS Add Elective Sections offset (1.2)	-67,033	924,827	1,637,978		4
21	HS Music Ensembles (0.7)	39,103	963,930	1,705,011		
22	HS Performing Arts Dept (0.5)	27,931	991,861	1,665,908		
23	HS Arts Dept (0.5)	27,931	1,019,792	1,637,977		
24	HS Phys Ed/Health Dept (0.8)	44,689	1,064,481	1,610,046		
25	MS Custodian (1)	32,000	1,096,481	1,565,357		
26	MS Clerical - Front Office (1)	32,082	1,128,563	1,533,357		
27	MS QLC Coordinator (1)	55,861	1,184,424	1,501,275	Assum	es -0% State Aid
			The second second second	1,445,414		1,470,989
28	HS Dept Head Consolidation (Eng. Soc Std, Math, Sci, W	39,103 45,495	1,223,527	1,445,414		792,817
29	HS Dept Head Consolidation (PE/FCS, Arts, Perf Arts, Ter		1,403,088	1,360,816		678,172
30	HS Special Ed Dept (2.4)	134,066		1,226,750		[A= 527,686]
31	HS Dean of Students (0.2)	15,000	1,418,088			[A- 327,080]
32	HS Prep Academy (0.2)	11,172	1,429,260	1,211,750		
33	HS Professional Development	6,000	1,435,260	1,200,578		
34	HS Librarian (0.2)	11,172	1,446,432	1,194,578		
35	MS Add Music (0.2)	-11,172	1,435,260	1,183,406		
36	MS Phys Ed (2.0)	111,722	1,546,982	1,194,578		
37		55,861	1,602,843	1,082,856		
38		110,000	1,712,843	1,026,995		
39		33,517	1,746,360	916,995		
40		33,516	1,779,876	883,478		
41		-66,817	1,713,059	849,962		
42		9,134	1,722,193	916,779		
43		44,688	1,766,881	907,645		
44		-55,681	1,711,200	862,957		
45		5,000	1,716,200	918,638		
46		3,000	1,719,200	913,638		
47		55,861	1,775,061	910,638		
48		30,000	1,805,061	CONTRACT TRANSPORT		
49	CO 5 Central Office Staff from Full year to Schl year (0.6	31,960	1.837,021	824,777		

49 CO 5 Central Office Staff from Full year to Schl year (0.6

					Cut
50	CO Add Program Evaluation	-20,000	1,817,021	792,817	Regardless
51	CO Add Curriculum Director (0.5)	-45,000	1,772,021	812,817	
52	HS ETP Programm at GCC (counsleor in dual-enro prog)	40,000	1,812,021	857,817	
53	HS Preschool (10% clercical slaray support to revenue)	4,500	1,816,521	817,817	
54	HS Preschool (10% Ath Dir salary to revenue)	9,000	1,825,521	813,317	
55	HS Athletics (portion team coaches salaries to revenue)	50,000	1,875,521	804,317	
56	HS Copy Service Personnel (1)	25,092	1,900,613	754,317	
57	HS Clerical (1)	32,082	1,932,695	729,225	
58	HS Custodial (1)	32,000	1,964,695	697,143	
59	HS Mullins Center graduation	10,000	1,974,695	665,143	
60	MS Tech Ed supplies	1,494	1,976,189	655,143	
61	CO Substitute Coord - Reg portion (0.5)	18,000	1,994,189	653,649	
62	CO Production Center Staff - copying (1)	20,500	2,014,689	635,649	
63	CO Special Ed Administrator - Reg portion (0.7)	70,000	2,084,689	615,149	
64	CO Close East Street Campus	13,000	2,097,689	545,149	
65	CO Reconfigure ESAH/SAC Campuses	178,000	2,275,689	532,149	
66	CO COLAs (Dist Dirs, Asst Sup, HS Princ)	10,899	2,286,588	354,149	
67	CO Close Student Services Modulars	10,000	2,296,588	343,250	
68	CO Health Ins. Enrollments	32,500	2,329,088	333,250)
69	CO Health Insurance 6%> 3%	101,000	2,430,088	300,750	
70	CO Transportation Expenses (bus stops/routes)	130,000	2,560,088	199,750	
71	CO Computer Leases (delay 1 yr)	44,300	2,604,388	69,750)
72	CO Instruction Technologhy Expenses	30,000	2,634,388	25,450	
73	CO Add HEC assessment	-4,550	2,629,838	-4,550)

792,817

-	4			-		
	21	٠.	 ~	۲i	•	^

	Libraries		the second second	
	Priority	cost	total	_
1	One-week furlough - prof staff	11,057		
2	AV Tech - cut 5 hours Fridays	5,300	16,357	3
3	Reduce 3 hrs - Spec. Collections Asst.	4,900	21,257	
4	Cut Maint. Asst./use temp staff	13,115	34,372	
5	Reduce Cataloging Asst. 12.5 hours	8,871	43,243	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	Reduce Materials Budget	14,400	57,643	4
7	Reduce AV Asst. 2.5 hours	1,300	58,943	±
8	Reduce Maint supplies/costs	1,650	60,593	<u> </u>
9	Reduce tem Reference Substitutes	1,000	61,593	(40)
0	Cut Children's Dept temp Monday staff/shelvers	6,200	67,793	
1	Cut Tech Sycs temp staff 10 hours	6,461	74,254	§ <u>→</u>
2	Cut half Office Asst. hours and benefs/add 10.5 hourly	14,150	88,404	-OUNTEEC II
3	Close Friday afternoons/cut temp staff	8,575	96,979	MAR level
4	Cut half Office Asst. hours and benefs/add 11 hourly	14,150	¥ 111,129	MAR level TRUSTEES 1/1924 *
5	Eliminate COLA for temp staff	3,845	114,974	4
6	Reduce office costs/supllies	2,000	116,974	
7	Eliminate COLA for all admin/prof staff	22,275	139,249	Cut Regardless
.8	Health Insurance 6%> 3%	7,262	146,511	···

Override Amount = \$69,137 to
reach Municipal Appropriation Regt.

		FY 10 Budget	Level	\$ Chg	Chg	FinCom -3% Prelim Target	\$ Chg	% Chg	Cuts & No Override	\$ Chg	%
Base Levy		34,439,142	35,666,757	1,227,615	3.6%	35,666,757	1,227,615	3.6%		1,227,615	3.6%
2.5% Allowable Increase		860,979	891,669	30,690	3.6%	891,669	30,690	3.6%		30,690	3.6%
Estimated New Growth		366,636	350,000	(16,636)	4.5%	350,000	(16,636)	-4.5%	350,000	(16,636)	4.5%
General Override		0	0	0	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	0		#DIV/0!
Levy Limit	Î	35,666,757	36,908,426	1,241,669	3.5%	36,908,426	1,241,669	3.5%	36,908,426	1,241,669	3.5%
Debt Exclusion	ĺ	400,837	352,466	(48,371)	-12.1%	352,466	(48,371)	-12.1%	352,466	(48,371)	-12.1%
Maximum Allowable Levy	1	36,067,594	37,260,892	1,193,298	3.3%	37,260,892	1,193,298	3.3%	37,260,892	1,193,298	3.3%
Excess Levy Capacity		(8,588)	0	8201	-100.0%	2					
Subtotal PROPERTY TAX		36,059,006	37,260,892	10000	3.3%	37,260,892	1,201,886	3.3%	37,260,892	1,201,886	3.3%
Local Receipts		7,373,016	7,808,008	434,992	5.9%	7,808,008	434,992	5.9%	7,808,008	434,992	5.9%
State Aid		14,278,972	13,607,670	(671,302)	4.7%	13,607,670	(671,302)	-4.7%	13,607,670	(671,302)	4.7%
Other Financing Sources		4,070,326	3,336,222	(734,104)	-18.0%	3,336,222	(734,104)	-18.0%	3,336,222	(734,104)	-18.0%
TOTAL REVENUES		61,781,320	62,012,792	231,472	0.4%	62,012,792	231,472	0.4%	62,012,792	231,472	0.4%
OPERATING BUDGET	FY 10	-									
	33.3%	18,331,553	19,248,131	916,578	5.0%	17,781,606	(549,947)	-3.0%	18,140,668	(190,885)	-1.0%
Elementary Schools	37.0%	20,381,768	21,456,419	1,074,651	5.3%	19,770,315	(611,453)	-3.0%	20,169,534	(212,234)	-1.0%
sessment)	22.8%	12,574,043	14,346,279	1,772,236	14.1%	12,335,524	(238,519)	-1.9%	12,581,813	7,770	0.1%
Jones Library (Tax Support)	2.7%	1.468.029	1.541.430	73,401	5.0%	1,423,988	(44,041)	-3.0%	1,452,742	(15,287)	-1.0%
Jones Library Budget		2,101,406	2,181,111	79,705	3.8%	2,063,669	(37,737)	-1.8%	2,092,423	(8,983)	-0.4%
Subtotal OPERATING BUDGET	95.8%	52,755,393	56,592,259	3,836,866	7.3%	51,311,433	(1,443,960)	-2.7%	52,344,757	(410,636)	-0.8%
CAPITAL BUDGET		400 837	353 466	(48 371)	13 1%	350 466	(48 371)	13 1%	352 466	(48 371)	-13 1%
Other Tax Funded Capital	4.2%	2,322,456	2,399,048	76,592	3.3%	2,252,782	(69,674)	-3.0%	2,298,272	(24,184)	-1.0%
% Net Tax Levy		6.51%	6.50%			6.10%			6.23%		
Community Preservation Act (debt service only)		83,774	66,411	(17,363)	-20.7%	66,411		-20.7%	66,411		-20.7%
Cash Capital (Non-Tax Support)		0	277,000	277,000	#DIV/0!	277,000	10000	#DIV/0!	277,000		#DIV/0!
Subtotal CAPITAL		2,807,067	3,094,925	287,858	10.3%	2,948,659	141,592	5.0%	2,994,149	187,082	6.7%
Miscellaneous		3,195,649	3,321,678	126,029	3.9%	3,321,678	126,029	3.9%	3,321,678	126,029	3.9%
Total APPROPRIATIONS 10	100.0%	58,758,109	63,008,862	4,250,753	7.2%	57,581,770	(1,176,339)	-2.0%	58,660,585	(97,524)	-0.2%
Unappropriated Uses		3,023,211	3,352,207	328,996	10.9%	3,352,207	328,996	10.9%	3,352,207	328,996	10.9%
SURPLUS I (SHORTFALL)		(0)	(4,348,277)			1,078,815			0	i i	
Reduction from FY 11 Level Services Estimate: OPERATING BUDGET	*										
Town						(1,466,525)			(1,107,463)		
Elementary Schools						(1,686,104)			(1,286,885)		
A-P Regional School District (Assessment)						(2,010,755)			(1,764,466)		
Amherst Pelham RSD Budget						(2,584,186)			(2,190,214)		
Jones Library (Tax Support)						(117,442)			(88,688)		
Jones Library Budget						(117,442)			(88,688)		
Subtotal OPERATING BUDGET		TOWNS TOWNS				(5,280,826)		100	(4,247,502)		
Cther Lax Funded Capital						(146,265)			(100,7,0)		

FY 11 CUTS & OVERRIDE (if 5% state aid cut in FY 11)

		(856,597)			(545,971)			(0)	(0)		SURPLUS / (SHORTFALL)
3.8%	2,493,570	68,773,785	3.7%	2,373,636	66,280,215	3.4%	2,125,259	63,906,579	61,781,320		TOTAL BUDGET PLAN
5.0%	175,991	3,695,809	5.0%	167,610	3,519,818	10.9%	328,996	3,352,207	3,023,211		Unappropriated Uses
3.7%	2,317,579	65,077,976	3.6%	2,206,026	62,760,398	3.1%	1,796,263	60,554,372	58,758,109	100.0%	Total APPROPRIATIONS
3.5%	120,328	3,558,264	3.5%	116,259	3,437,937	3.9%	126,029	3,321,678	3,195,649		Miscellaneous
1.7%	48,332	2,833,950	-7.7%	(232,715)	2,785,618	7.5%	211,266	3,018,333	2,807,067		Subtotal CAPITAL
#DIV/0!	0 ;	0	100.0%	(277,000) -100.0%	0	#DIV/0!	277,000	277,000	0		Cash Capital (Non-Tax Support)
-2.8%	(1,761)	61,833	-4.2%	(2,817)	63,594	-20.7%	(17,363)	66,411	83,774	<u>\$</u>	Community Preservation Act (debt service only)
		6.00%			6.00%			5.99%	6.51%		% Net Tax Levy
4.0%	96,408	2,512,744	4.0%	93,880	2,416,336	0.0%	0	2,322,456	2,322,456	4.2%	Other Tax Funded Capital
-15.2%	(46,315)	259,373	-13.3%	(46,778)	305,688	-12.1%	(48,371)	352,466	400,837		CAPITAL BUDGET Debt Service - Debt Exclusion
3.8%	2,148,919	58,685,762	4.3%	2,322,482	56,536,843	2.8%	1,458,968	54,214,361	52,755,393	95.8%	Subtotal OPERATING BUDGET
2.6%	56,491	2,270,856	2.4%	52,805	2,214,365	2.9%	60,154	2,161,560	2,101,406		Jones Library Budget
2.6%	40,519	1,598,923	2.4%	36,525	1,558,404	3.7%	53,850	1,521,879	1,468,029	2.7%	Jones Library (Tax Support)
3.5%	1,039,707	30,713,394	3.3%	939,257	29,673,687	3.4%	958,078	28,734,430	27,776,352		Amherst Pelham RSD Budget
4.8%	699,990	15,249,705	6.4%	880,587	14,549,715	8.7%	1,095,085	13,669,128	12,574,043	22.8%	A-P Regional School District (Assessment)
4.3%	910,147	22,201,511	4.6%	945,930	21,291,364	-0.2%	(36,334)	20,345,434	20,381,768	37.0%	Elementary Schools
2.6%	498,263	19,635,623	2.5%	459,440	19,137,360	1.9%	346,367	18,677,920	18,331,553	33.3%	Town
										FY 10	OPERATING BUDGET
										%	EXPENDITURES
3.3%	2,182,944	67,917,188	2.9%	1,827,665	65,734,244	3.4%	2,125,259	63,906,579	61,781,320		TOTAL REVENUES
3.5%	110,820	3,277,115	-5.1%	(169,927)	3,166,295	-18.0%	(734,104)	3,336,222	4,070,326		Other Financing Sources
1.5%	207,177	14,018,962	1.5%	204,115	13,811,785	-4.7%	(671,302)	13,607,670	14,278,972		State Aid
3.7%	304,455	8,482,663	4.7%	370,200	8,178,208	5.9%	434,992	7,808,008	7,373,016		Local Receipts
3.8%	1,560,492	42,138,448	3.6%	1,423,277	40,577,956	8.6%	3,095,673	39,154,679	36,059,006		Subtotal PROPERTY TAX
		0			0			0	(8,588)		Excess Levy Capacity
3.8%	1,560,492	42,138,448	3.6%	1,423,277	40,577,956	8.6%	3,087,085	39,154,679	36,067,594	1	Maximum Allowable Levy
-15.2%	(46,315)	259,373	-13.3%	(46,778)	305,688	-12.1%	(48,371)	352,466	400,837		Debt Exclusion
4.0%	1,606,807	41,879,075	3.8%	1,470,055	40,272,268	8.8%	3,135,456	38,802,213	35,666,757		Levy Limit
	0	0		(1,893,787)	0		1,893,787	1,893,787	0		General Override
20.0%	100,000	600,000	42.9%	150,000	500,000	-4.5%	(16,636)	350,000	366,636		Estimated New Growth
3.8%	36,751	1,006,807	8.8%	78,386	970,055	3.6%	30,690	891,669	860,979		2.5% Allowable Increase
3.8%	1,470,055	40,272,268	8.8%	3,135,456	38,802,213	3.6%	1,227,615	35,666,757	34,439,142		Base Levy
Ġ	ć		(Ó		(((REVENUES
Cha	Cha	Projected	Cha	Cha	Projected	Cha	Chq	Cuts/Override	Budget		
%	(6	FY 13	%	ss .	FY 12	%	ξ	FY 11	FY 10		. *
-			_								

Town of Amherst (General Fund) FY2011 Budget Process

Projections

Amherst

1/27/2010

Total FY11 Budget

FY11 Budget cut to Total FY11 Budget -5% State Aid Cuts & Override 18,677,919

Total FY12 Budget

Salaries & Expenses 1.0% COLA

19,013,576 123,784

Total FY12 Budget

19,137,360

Budget Increase

459,441 2.5%

Total FY13 Budget

Salaries & Expenses

19,509,510

1.0% COLA

126,112

Total FY13 Budget

19,635,623

Budget Increase

498,262 2.6%

The 1% COLA is included here for illustrative purposes only. It represents a sample of what might result from the negotiations process. No assumption should be made that this 1% is either an opening or ending offer for COLA increases.

Amherst Elementary School District FY2011 Budget Process

Initial FY2012 Projections

0.000					
Λ	m	h	~	ra	٠
м	111	f I	-	15	ı

Total FY11 Budget

FY11 Budget cut to Total FY11 Budget	Level Funding 20,381,768	"Estimated Available Funds" 19,933,369	3% Expense Cut 19,770,315	-5% State, 1st level cuts 20,345,434
Total FY12 Budget				
Salaries & Expenses 1.0% COLA	21,195,884 133,358	20,742,015 128,774	20,576,971 127,143	21,159,107 132,257
Total FY12 Budget	21,329,242	20,870,789	20,704,114	21,291,364
Budget Increase	947,474 4.6%	937,420 4.7%	933,799 4.7%	945,930 4.6%
Total FY13 Budget				
Salaries & Expenses 1.0% COLA	22,104,328 137,277	21,641,327 132,591	21,473,036 130,925	22,065,359 136,152
Total FY13 Budget	22,241,605	21,773,918	21,603,961	22,201,511
Budget Increase	912,363 4.3%	903,129 4.3%	899,847 4.3%	910,147 4.3%

1/27/2010

The 1% COLA is included here for illustrative purposes only. It represents a sample of what might result from the negotiations process. No assumption should be made that this 1% is either an opening or ending offer for COLA increases.

Amherst-Pelham Regional School District FY2011 Budget Process

Initial FY2012 Projections

 \bigvee

1/27/2010

1

Region

Ü						
			"Estimated		-5% State,	-0% State,
FY1	1 Budget	Level Funding	Available Funds"	3% Expense Cut	1st level cuts	1st level cuts
	Chapter 70	0%	-10%	-10%	-5%	0%
	Cuts to FY10 Budget	nf	-2.2%	-3.0%	nf -	nf
	Amherst Assessment	0%	nf	nf	. nf	nf
	Budget	27,599,209	27,165,272	26,943,061	28,734,430	28,734,430
	Amherst Assessment	12,588,737	13,005,996	12,833,093	13,669,128	13,292,296
		0.0%	3.4%	2.1%	8.7%	5.7%
EV4	2 Budget	9				
ГП	Salaries & Expenses	28,406,645	27,926,416	27,700,983	29,518,327	29,518,327
	1.0% COLA	145,255	140,868	138,646	155,360	155,360
	Total Budget	28,551,900	28,067,284	27,839,629	29,673,687	29,673,687
	Total Budget	3.5%	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%
	Amherst Assessment	13,444,085	13,863,099	13,685,960	14,549,715	14,167,230
	Assessment Increase	855,348	857,103	852,867	880,587	874,934
	Assessment increase	6.8%		6.6%	6.4%	6.6%
	Effect of 1.0% COLA on					
	Amherst Assessment	113,023	109,609	107,880	115,094	120,886
FY1	3 Budget	TOTAL COLUMN STATE			00 550 000	20 552 260
	Salaries & Expenses	29,378,699	28,929,197	28,699,039	30,553,269 160,125	30,553,269 160,125
	1.0% COLA	149,773	145,278	143,002	160,125	100,125
	Total Budget	29,528,472	29,074,475	28,842,041	30,713,394	30,713,394
		3.4%	3.6%	3.6%	3.5%	3.5%
	Amherst Assessment	14,120,334	14,543,523	14,362,666	15,249,705	14,861,481
	Assessment Increase	676,249	680,424	676,706	699,990	694,251
		5.0%	4.9%	4.9%	4.8%	4.9%
	Effect of 1.0% COLA on					101 500
	Amherst Assessment	116,538	113,041	111,270	118,803	124,593

The 1% COLA is included here for illustrative purposes only. It represents a sample of what might result from the negotiations process. No assumption should be made that this 1% is either an opening or ending offer for COLA increases.

Library Services - Town of Amherst FY2011 Budget Process

Amherst		Projections	1/27/2010
Annerst			1/27/2010
Total FY	I1 Budget	н	
P	FY11 Budget cut to Total FY11 Budget	-5% State Aid Cuts & Override 2,161,560	e e
Total FY	12 Budget		
	Salaries & Expenses 1.0% COLA	2,201,297 13,067	
	Total FY12 Budget	2,214,364	
	Budget Increase	52,804 2.4%	
Total FY	13 Budget		
	Salaries & Expenses 1.0% COLA	2,257,609 13,247	
*	Total FY13 Budget	2,270,856	

56,492 2.6%

Budget Increase

How Much Would an Override Cost?

The following charts show how each scenario would effect various residential taxpayers with representative assessed valuations. The charts also show the net effect of tax increases accounting for the Federal deduction in the various tax brackets, assuming a taxpayer was able to take advantage of this deduction.

Estimated Increased Taxes Given Various Override Scenarios Showing FY 2010 Taxes Plus Incremental Taxes Paid at Various Federal Tax Brackets

Override of \$1,900,000	OF EPHYROLOGIC	情况证明说.	110 域 原助原素	ESTIMAT	ED COST	OF OVERR	IDE AND	
		FY 10	SAMULT.	Fede	al Tax	Bracke	t 78 1876	Talka Bira
	Value	Tax Bill	.0%	15%	25%	28%	33%	35%
Single Family Home								70 H26 H
Mean	\$334,600	\$5,671	\$298	\$253	\$223	\$214	\$200	\$194
25% Quartile	\$255,300	\$4,327	\$227	\$193	\$170	\$164	\$152	\$148
Median	\$303,600	\$5,146	\$270	\$230	\$203	\$195	\$181	\$176
75% Quartile	\$384,900	\$6,524	\$343	\$291	\$257	\$247	\$230	\$223
Residential Condos								
Mean	\$175,200	\$2,970	\$156	\$133	\$117	\$112	\$104	\$101
25% Quartile	\$130,600	\$2,214	\$116	\$99	\$87	\$84	\$78	\$76
Median	\$159,300	\$2,700	\$142	\$121	\$106	\$102	\$95	\$92
75% Quartile	\$208,500	\$3,534	\$186	\$158	\$139	\$134	\$124	\$121
2 Family Median	\$358,400	\$6,075	\$319	\$271	\$239	\$230	\$214	\$207
3 Family Median	\$360,700	\$6,114	\$321	\$273	\$241	\$231	\$215	\$209

The 0% column (the actual tax increase) should be used by taxpayers who do not itemize or are subject to the Federal Alternative Minimun Alternative Tax (AMT)

Override Impact of + / - \$100,000		THE VIEW	据数据证明	ESTIMAT	ED COST	OF OVERR	IDE A SE	別月甲屬美
	Value	FY 10 Tax Bill	0%	Feder	ral Tax 25%	Bracke 28%	t 33%	35%
Single Family Home				0 5	VENNA =	N 2000	\$1 P79	
Mean	\$334,600	\$5,671	\$17	\$14	\$13	\$12	\$11	\$11
25% Quartile	\$255,300	\$4,327	\$13	\$11	\$10	\$9	\$9	\$8
Median	\$303,600	\$5,146	\$15	\$13	\$11	\$11	\$10	\$10
75% Quartile	\$384,900	\$6,524	\$19	\$16	\$14	\$14	\$13	\$13
Residential Condos	35							
Mean	\$175,200	\$2,970	\$9	\$7	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$6
25% Quartile	\$130,600	\$2,214	\$7	\$6	\$5	\$5	\$4	\$4
Median	\$159,300	\$2,700	\$8	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$5	\$5
75% Quartile	\$208,500	\$3,534	\$10	\$9	\$8	\$8	\$7	\$7
2 Family Median	\$358,400	\$6,075	\$18	\$15	\$13	\$13	· \$12	\$12
3 Family Median	\$360,700	\$6,114	\$18	\$15	\$14	\$13	\$12	\$12

Source: Town of Amherst Finance Department

the Federal Alternative Minimun Alternative Tax (AMT)

Appendix D

SEQUENCING OPTIONS

DORtrap 21/2 Bailor Questions: Regulaments & Procedures

If referendum not held by deadline Appropriation null and void	If referendum and appropriation both pass or both fail No further action required	If referendum and appropriation both pass or both fail No further action required
 annual town meeting 90 days after special town meeting dissolves for appropriations made at that meeting 	and/or community may raise non-tax revenues)Referendum may be presented again	 and/or community may raise non-tax revenues) Referendum may be presented again
 If referendum fails No further action required - appropriation null and void Referendum may be presented at other elections, but must be approved by: September 15 for appropriations made at 	 If appropriation passes and referendum fails Appropriation is valid Budget must be adjusted within levy limit by time tax rate set (to balance budget, town meeting or city/town council may cut any budget item, not just referendum purpose, 	 If appropriation passes and referendum fails Appropriation is valid Budget must be adjusted within levy limit by time tax rate set (to balance budget, town meeting or city/town council may cut any budget item, not just referendum purpose,
If referendum passes No further action required - appropriation validated and tax increase approved to cover expenditure	 If referendum passes and appropriation fails Referendum is valid If override/capital exclusion, have until tax rate set to appropriate for same purpose If debt exclusion, have reasonable time to authorize debt for same project 	If referendum passes and appropriation fails Referendum is valid If override/capital exclusion, have until tax rate set to appropriate for same purpose If debt exclusion, have reasonable time to authorize debt for same project
Referendum election held Annual or special municipal, state biennial Referendum deadline: September 15 for appropriations made at annual town meeting 90 days after special town meeting dissolves for appropriations made at that meeting	Referendum election held Annual or special municipal State biennial	Appropriation made by town meeting or city/town council Annual or special
Appropriation made by town meeting Annual or special	Appropriation made by town meeting or city/town council Annual or special	Referendum election held Annual or special municipal State biennial
Number 3 Contingent Appropriation - Election Towns Only	<u>Number 2</u> Appropriation - Election	Number 1 Election - Appropriation